

Section Reference	Topic	Comment
Future Land Use Map	Land use along 16th Street	Amend the Future Land Use Map to provide for Moderate Density Residential (RMOD) on 16th Street from Arkansas Ave. NW to Military Rd. NW. (Note: ANC 4A does not adopt this recommendation, but it is included, because it was suggested by one of team participants.)
300	LAND USE	
LU-1.3.3a	Affordable Rentals & Multi-family sales & rentals	We support the district’s efforts to ensure housing near Metro stations and bus corridors is affordable
306.6	Premium transit corridors	Confirm in the text that "premium transit corridors" include bus corridors.
307	Infill Development	Must match current use – which appears to be the intent – but this should be very clearly stated.
309	Neighborhoods	Must protect and respect existing neighborhoods.
309.4	Suggests all should be a mix of home and commercial	This should be clarified, as not all neighborhoods are large enough to allow mix of residential and commercial, and Crestwood, Colonial Village, and North Portal Estates appear to be such neighborhoods.
309.5	Variety of Neighborhoods	Striking “single-family” should be reversed, or at least clarified, to be clear that the plan supports the ongoing existence of single family neighborhoods.
309.7	Neighborhood Revitalization	“Equity and opportunity for disadvantaged persons” should be part of any

		consideration, but it should not dominate all other community elements, as the issue should be equity and opportunity for all citizens.
309.8	C,E&R Neighborhoods	The goal should be to PROTECT and respect, not just respect, as it is a lower standard. Residents as taxpayers participate in helping the city meet objectives such as placement of “affordable housing” in the city.
309.10	Neighborhoods	This should be explicit in stating “single family neighborhoods” as opposed to the elimination of those words.
309.11 / LU-2.1.6	Tear-Downs and “Mansionization”	We support the policy to discourage the replacement of quality homes in “good physical condition” with larger ones that will use more energy.
309.12	Row House Neighborhoods	The goal should be to PROTECT and respect, not just respect, as it is a lower standard.
309.13	Zoning	Central planning should not be allowed to eliminate low and moderate density neighborhoods without assured heavy involvement of the residents of the affected neighborhoods. The new language is very undesirable, “ except along premium transit corridors ”.
309.14	Alterations	Support intent to discourage alterations that result in a loss of family-sized units.

310.8	Alley greening	Add the language in bold : “Support the greening of residential alleys where feasible, and especially in neighborhoods adjacent to Rock Creek Park , to enhance sustainability and stormwater management.”
316	Foreign Missions	Appears to need no comment.
317	Group Homes	Was this eliminated?
400	TRANSPORTATION	
400.6	Technology and Innovation	The sections give no recognition to the needs of a growing elderly population that cannot or does not use the services and technologies being described in only glowing terms.
Action Item T-1.1.A	Transportation Measures of Effectiveness	Strike the language, ‘Implement moveDC performance measures and the District Mobility Project to quantify transportation service and assess land use. ‘ Further consideration is needed to ensure that the proposed performance measures meet our needs and are consistent with FWHA rules since we rely on federal funding for many of our projects.
	Transportation Goal	Add “efficient and reliable.” It is not just about safety.
403.5	Autonomous Vehicles	What are “compact and accessible development patterns”?
403.6	Measuring Traffic Impact	Moving beyond a car only measure is the purpose of elimination of the old grading system. But what will be the

		new measure if we no longer use the grading system?
404	Transforming Corridors	This proposal relies on “moveDC” as the rule setter – thus our comment may not be relevant, but we question whether these changes are legally compliant or in the public interest.
T-1.4	“Placemaking” in the Public Space Program within DDOT	Clarification is requested regarding T-1.4. Any “enhancement” within the “public rights of way” are supposed to be consistent with the official dedicated public purpose and should effectuate that purpose. Any changes should follow the process to close or convert the public space. Allowing commercial entities to take away public space should be reviewed. What does “open street” mean? For dedicated bus lanes, will the buses be permitted sole access or will it be a mixed-use situation?
T-2.3C	Performance Measures	If equity and fairness is important and if DC is to be an age-friendly, family-friendly city, the transportation performance measures should apply to all modes of transportation – not just walking and bicycle transportation.
405	Regional Smart Growth	Coordination is recommended
405.5	Deleted	Keep the paragraph as it explains Figure 4.1
407	Transit Accessibility	Keep water taxis

409	Bicycles	Relies on “moveDC” and repeats several times that DC should add more dedicated bike lanes, but where?
410.2	Sidewalks	It <u>should not be required</u> that sidewalks be installed in “single family neighborhoods”
410.10 / T-2.4B	Sidewalks	This would require the installation of sidewalks throughout the District. We have been requesting the installation of 2 blocks of sidewalks for 2 years (on Blagden Avenue and Mathewson Drive in 4A08) and the city has been unable to do that. We also have issues with sidewalk upkeep. It does not seem like a good expenditure to insist on sidewalks, especially where the ANCs have not requested them. Give priority to adding sidewalks where they have been requested. Don’t pave needlessly. Use our limited funds for other transportation needs.
T-2.5	Roadway System and Auto Movement Maintenance Funds	We support providing sufficient funding sources to maintain and repair (and keep open) the District’s system of streets, alleys, bridges, sidewalks and bike lanes. We think that transportation funds should be used for public transportation purposes.
	Functional Classification Plan	Until such time as the Highway Plan is replaced, DC should comply with the plan.

500	HOUSING	
500.18		The presumption of a decline in families should not be generalized as it is, since in neighborhoods like Crestwood, it is not accurate. As the plan notes, it is necessary to maintain capacity for large families.
H-1.2.J	Establish Affordability Goals	We support establishing affordability goals by Area Element. The ANCs may be able to assist with this.
H-1.3C	Technical Assistance	We support Technical Assistance for Condominiums and Cooperatives
H-1.3.1	Housing for Larger Households	We recognize the need for housing that supports larger household and the fact that larger households may include extended family members, family groups and / or caregivers.
	Shepherd Park's request for downzoning	ANC 4A incorporates by reference its support of the request made by Mark Pattison, then President of the Shepherd Park Citizens Association, for changes to the zoning of specific lots in Shepherd Park in 2017.
H-1.5B	Changes to the Zoning Regs.	We support the changes to the zoning regulations in accordance with the resolution passed by ANC 4A. We recommend that the city provide guidance to residents on how we can lawfully have an accessory unit (also called granny flats or in-law suites) consistent with our neighborhoods.

H-2.1-A	Rehabilitation Grant	We support the maintenance of a rehabilitation grant program for owners of small residential units, that will link the grants to income limits for future tenants. This should not be restricted just to apartment building owners.
H-2.1.1	Track Displacement	We agree that the City should track displacement and neighborhood change so that we may be able to help residents stay in DC, if they want to.
H-2-1.6	Rent Control	Rent control should be perpetual and not expire. DC should consider whether all buildings should be subject to rent control, including those built after 1975
H-2.2.2	Housing Stock Maintenance	Support
H-2.2.3	Tax Relief	Support. As the goal is to help residents and seniors maintain their homes and prevent displacement, we urge the OP to consider creating Neighborhood Tax Increment Financing (TIFs), which could leverage grants for low-income families and seniors for repair to their properties.
H-4.2	Ending Homelessness	We support the policies and efforts to end homelessness
600	ENVIRONMENT	
E-2.2A	Pollution Abatement	Add "Rock Creek and Piney Branch Creek" to the list. We have a serious source of pollution that the City has ignored, with 29 storm sewer overflows that were

		supposed to be addressed at the same time as the Anacostia River contamination was addressed.
E-3.2.1	Solar Easements	We support.
E-3.3A	Recycling Program	We support.
E-5.2C	Enforcement	We support the maintenance of a water pollution control program that implements and enforces the water quality standards, including those impacting Rock Creek East (like Rock Creek and Piney Branch Creek).
E-5.2.E	TMDL Implementation	We support enforcing the Total Maximum Daily Load.
1000	HISTORIC PRESERVATION	
HP 1.4	Evaluating Historic Significance	We support this proposed action.
HP2.1.A & HP2.1.B	Historic Places	We support protecting and preserving the historic places of Washington.
HP-2.2.A	Historic Landscape	We support harmonizing and protecting the important vistas of DC
HP-2.2C	Protecting Rights of Way	We support the proposal to preserve the original street pattern in historic districts by maintaining public rights of way and historic building setbacks.
HP-2.2.d	Historic Avenue Landscapes	We support this policy.
2200	Rock Creek East Planning Area	
Small Area Plans		ANC 4A may offer, at a later time, a small area plan to protect the existing single-family low density housing stock in our area.

RE-1.2.B	Historic Resource Recognition	We support the proposal to document the places of potential historic significance in the Rock Creek East Planning Area, along with those already receiving historic recognition.
RCE-2.8.A	Walter Reed	We support the Land Use and Zoning Changes to the Future Land Use Map and Generalized Policy Map designation and established zoning for the Walter Reed site pursuant to the proposed Comprehensive Plan Land Use designations map in the Walter Reed Small Area Plan. We recognize that there is another ongoing plan that pertains to the State Department side of Walter Reed.
RCE-2.8C	Aspen Street Widening	We need more information regarding the proposed plan and whether there will continue to be one travel lane in each direction and the impact to the residences along Aspen Street, NW
	Transportation Demand Management (TDM) Plan	We recognize the need to create a Transportation Demand Management Plan and to implement the TDM for the former Walter Reed site. ANC 4A needs more information before we can offer comments on the transportation plan.

